

COBORDISM OF FOLD MAPS, STABLY FRAMED MANIFOLDS AND IMMERSIONS

BOLDIZSÁR KALMÁR

ABSTRACT. We give complete geometric invariants of cobordisms of fold maps with oriented singular set and cobordisms of even codimensional fold maps. These invariants are given in terms of cobordisms of stably framed manifolds and cobordisms of immersions with prescribed normal bundles.

INTRODUCTION

We defined and used geometric cobordism invariants of fold maps in [16, 18, 17] which describe the immersion of the singular set of the fold map into the target manifold together with more detailed informations about the tubular neighbourhood of the singular set of the fold map in the source manifold. In this paper we define further invariants which describe the cobordism class of the source manifold and its fold map outside of the singular set as well. In [16] we showed that the cobordism groups of fold maps contain stable homotopy groups of spheres as direct components and in [17] we showed that they also contain stable homotopy groups of the classifying spaces $BO(k)$. In this paper, by using the results of Ando [4, Theorem 0.1, Theorem 3.2] about the existence of fold maps, we show that together with our invariants defined in [16, 18, 17], cobordism groups of manifolds with stable framings (see Section 1.4) give complete cobordism invariants of the cobordism classes of even codimensional fold maps and the cobordism classes of framed fold maps (see Definition 1.4) of arbitrary codimension into the Euclidean space (see Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.2).

We emphasize that our results in this paper are based on elementary constructions of geometric cobordism invariants [16, 18, 17], constructions of special fold maps [17] and an elementary application (see Section 3) of a simple corollary [4, Theorem 0.1, Theorem 3.2] of the h-principle for fold maps of Ando [4, Theorem 0.5, Theorem 2.1].

Independently from our present paper Sadykov [25] gave a splitting of the cobordism groups of fold maps in terms of homotopy groups of spectra, also in the odd codimension case. These spectra were constructed [23] using the h-principle for fold maps of Ando [4, Theorem 0.5, Theorem 2.1] but with a quite different and much more sophisticated approach than ours. Sadykov also showed that our geometric invariants defined in [16, 17, 18] coincide with direct summands of the splitting given by [25].

For other more sophisticated applications of the h-principle for fold maps of Ando toward cobordisms of fold maps, see, [1, 2, 3, 5, 6].

For further results about cobordisms of fold maps with positive codimension, see, [7, 36]. For cobordisms of negative codimensional singular maps with completely different approach from our present paper, see, [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 24, 27, 29].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R45; Secondary 57R75, 57R42, 55Q45.

Key words and phrases. Fold singularity, fold map, cobordism, immersion, stable homotopy groups.

The author is supported by the JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give the basic definitions, in Section 2 we state our main results and in Section 3 we prove our results about complete invariants.

The author would like to thank Prof. Yoshifumi Ando for the interesting and useful conversations.

Notations. In this paper the symbol “ $\amalg$ ” denotes the disjoint union, for any number x the symbol “ $[x]$ ” denotes the greatest integer i such that $i \leq x$, ε_X^1 denotes the trivial line bundle over the space X , ε^1 denotes the trivial line bundle over the point, and the symbols ξ^k , η^k , etc. usually denote k -dimensional real vector bundles. The symbol $T\xi^k$ denotes the Thom space of the bundle ξ^k . The symbol $\text{Imm}_N^{\xi^k}(n-k, k)$ denotes the cobordism group of k -codimensional immersions into an n -dimensional manifold N whose normal bundles are induced from ξ^k (this group is isomorphic to the group $\{\dot{N}, T\xi^k\}$, where $\dot{N}$ denotes the one point compactification of the manifold N and the symbol $\{X, Y\}$ denotes the group of stable homotopy classes of continuous maps from the space X to the space Y). The symbol $\text{Imm}^{\xi^k}(n-k, k)$ denotes the cobordism group of k -codimensional immersions into $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose normal bundles are induced from ξ^k (this group is isomorphic to $\pi_n^s(T\xi^k)$). The symbol $\pi_n^s(X)$ (π_n^s) denotes the n th stable homotopy group of the space X (resp. spheres). The symbols Ω_m and $\mathfrak{N}_m$ denote the usual cobordism groups of oriented and unoriented m -dimensional manifolds, respectively. The symbol “ id_A ” denotes the identity map of the space A . The symbol ε denotes a small positive number. All manifolds and maps are smooth of class C^∞ .

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Fold maps. Let $n \geq 1$ and $q \geq 0$. Let Q^{n+q} and N^n be smooth manifolds of dimensions $n+q$ and n respectively. Let $p \in Q^{n+q}$ be a singular point of a smooth map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$. The smooth map f has a *fold singularity of index λ* at the singular point p if we can write f in some local coordinates around p and $f(p)$ in the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+q}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n^2 - \dots - x_{n+\lambda-1}^2 + x_{n+\lambda}^2 + \dots + x_{n+q}^2)$$

for some λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq q+1$) (the index λ is well-defined if we consider that λ and $q+1-\lambda$ represent the same index).

A smooth map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ is called a *fold map* if f has only fold singularities.

A smooth map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ has a *definite fold singularity* at a fold singularity $p \in Q^{n+q}$ if $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = q+1$, otherwise f has an *indefinite fold singularity of index λ* at the fold singularity $p \in Q^{n+q}$.

Let $S_\lambda(f)$ denote the set of fold singularities of index λ of f in Q^{n+q} . Note that $S_\lambda(f) = S_{q+1-\lambda}(f)$. Let S_f denote the set $\bigcup_\lambda S_\lambda(f)$.

Note that the set S_f is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of the manifold Q^{n+q} .

Note that each connected component of the manifold S_f has its own index λ if we consider that λ and $q+1-\lambda$ represent the same index.

Note that for a fold map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ and for an index λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq [q/2]$) the codimension one immersion $f|_{S_\lambda(f)}: S_\lambda(f) \rightarrow N^n$ of the singular set of index λ $S_\lambda(f)$ has a canonical framing (i.e., trivialization of the normal bundle) by identifying canonically the set of fold singularities of index λ of the map f with the fold germ $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+q}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n^2 - \dots - x_{n+\lambda-1}^2 + x_{n+\lambda}^2 + \dots + x_{n+q}^2)$, see, for example, [26].

If $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ is a fold map in general position, then the map f restricted to the singular set S_f is a general positional codimension one immersion into the target manifold N^n .

Since every fold map is in general position after a small perturbation, and we study maps under the equivalence relation *cobordism* (see Definition 1.3), in this paper we can restrict ourselves to studying fold maps which are in general position. Without mentioning we suppose that a fold map f is in general position.

Definition 1.1 (Framed fold map). We say that a fold map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ is *framed* if the codimension one immersion $f|_{S_f}: S_f \rightarrow N^n$ of the singular set S_f has a framing (i.e., trivialization of the normal bundle) such that for each index λ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor q/2 \rfloor$ the framing of $f|_{S_\lambda(f)}: S_\lambda(f) \rightarrow N^n$ coincides with the canonical framing. For odd q , the framing of the immersion of the singular set of index $(q+1)/2$ can be arbitrary.

Note that if we have a framed fold map f into an oriented manifold N^n , then the singular set S_f has a natural orientation which gives at any point of $f(S_f)$ (together with the framing of the immersion of S_f) the orientation of the target manifold N^n .

Definition 1.2 (Oriented fold map). A fold map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ is *oriented* if there is a chosen consistent orientation of every fiber at their regular points.

For example, a fold map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ between oriented manifolds is canonically oriented.

Note that an oriented fold map $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ with odd q may not have a framing in the sense of Definition 1.1.

1.2. Equivalence relations of fold maps.

Definition 1.3 (Cobordism). Two fold maps $f_i: Q_i^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ ($i = 0, 1$) of closed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds Q_i^{n+q} ($i = 0, 1$) into an n -dimensional manifold N^n are *cobordant* if

- a) there exists a fold map $F: X^{n+q+1} \rightarrow N^n \times [0, 1]$ of a compact $(n+q+1)$ -dimensional manifold X^{n+q+1} ,
- b) $\partial X^{n+q+1} = Q_0^{n+q} \amalg Q_1^{n+q}$ and
- c) $F|_{Q_0^{n+q} \times [0, \varepsilon)} = f_0 \times \text{id}_{[0, \varepsilon)}$ and $F|_{Q_1^{n+q} \times (1-\varepsilon, 1]} = f_1 \times \text{id}_{(1-\varepsilon, 1]}$, where $Q_0^{n+q} \times [0, \varepsilon)$ and $Q_1^{n+q} \times (1-\varepsilon, 1]$ are small collar neighbourhoods of ∂X^{n+q+1} with the identifications $Q_0^{n+q} = Q_0^{n+q} \times \{0\}$ and $Q_1^{n+q} = Q_1^{n+q} \times \{1\}$.

If the fold maps $f_i: Q_i^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$ ($i = 0, 1$) are oriented, we say that they are *oriented cobordant* (or shortly *cobordant* if it is clear from the context) if they are cobordant in the above sense via an oriented fold map F , such that the orientations are compatible on the boundary.

We call the map F a *cobordism* between f_0 and f_1 .

This clearly defines an equivalence relation on the set of (oriented) fold maps of closed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds into an n -dimensional manifold N^n .

We denote the set of (oriented) cobordism classes of (oriented) fold maps of closed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds into an n -dimensional manifold N^n by $\text{Cob}_{N,f}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\text{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q)$). When $N^n = \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote it by $\text{Cob}_f(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\text{Cob}_f^O(n+q, -q)$). We note that we can define a commutative semigroup operation in the usual way on the set of cobordism classes $\text{Cob}_{N,f}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\text{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q)$) by

the disjoint union. If the target manifold N^n is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or more generally if N^n has the form $\mathbb{R}^1 \times M^{n-1}$ for some $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold M^{n-1}), then the elements in the semigroup $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q)$) have their inverses: namely, compose them with a reflection in a hyperplane (in $\{0\} \times M^{n-1}$ in general, see [36]). Hence the semigroups $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q)$) are in this case actually groups.

Definition 1.4 (Framed cobordism). Two (oriented) framed fold maps

$$f_i: Q_i^{n+q} \rightarrow N^n$$

($i = 0, 1$) of closed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds Q_i^{n+q} ($i = 0, 1$) into an n -dimensional manifold N^n are (*oriented*) *framed cobordant* if they are (oriented) cobordant in the sense of Definition 1.3 by a framed fold map $F: X^{n+q+1} \rightarrow N^n \times [0, 1]$ such that the framing of the immersion $F|_{S_F}: S_F \rightarrow N^n \times [0, 1]$ restricted to the immersion $f_i|_{S_{f_i}}: S_{f_i} \rightarrow N^n \times \{i\}$ coincides with the framing of the fold map f_i ($i = 0, 1$).

Let us denote the (oriented) framed cobordism semigroup of (oriented) framed fold maps of $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds into N^n by $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}^O(n+q, -q)$).

Note that for even codimension $q = 2k$ ($k \geq 0$) the (oriented) framed cobordism semigroup $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}^O(n+q, -q)$) is naturally isomorphic to the (oriented) cobordism semigroup $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}(n+q, -q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q)$).

1.3. Cobordism invariants of fold maps. As an imitation of lifting positive codimensional singular maps [36, Section 7, Proof of Theorem 2], we defined and used geometric invariants of cobordisms of fold maps (for the definitions and notations, see, [17, Section 2]), namely the homomorphisms

$$\xi_{\lambda,q}^N: \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^{(O)}(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_B^1(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}(n-1, 1)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < (q+1)/2$ and

$$\xi_{(q+1)/2,q}^N: \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^{(O)}(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{l^1}(n-1, 1)$$

for q odd and $\lambda = (q+1)/2$. These homomorphisms map a cobordism class of a fold map f into the cobordism class of the immersion of its fold singular set $S_\lambda(f)$ of index λ with normal bundle induced from the target of the universal fold germ bundle of index λ . In the case of oriented fold maps (e.g., in the case of oriented manifolds Q^{n+q} and N^n), we have the analogous homomorphisms

$$\xi_{\lambda,q}^{O,N}: \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_B^1(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}(n-1, 1)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < (q+1)/2$ and

$$\xi_{(q+1)/2,q}^{O,N}: \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f}^O(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{\tilde{l}^1}(n-1, 1)$$

for q odd and $\lambda = (q+1)/2$ as well. We used these homomorphisms in [16, 18, 17] in order to describe cobordisms of fold maps.

For $0 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2$, we define the (oriented) framed cobordism invariants

$$\xi_{\lambda,q}^N: \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}^{(O)}(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_B^1(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}(n-1, 1)$$

and

$$\xi_{\lambda,q}^{O,N} : \mathcal{Cob}_{N,f,fr}^O(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_{BS(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}^1}(n-1, 1)$$

in the analogous way.

1.4. Framed cobordism of manifolds.

Definition 1.5 (Stably $(n-1)$ -framed manifolds and stably $(n-1)$ -framed cobordism). For $n > 0, q \geq 0$ an $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifold Q^{n+q} is *stably $(n-1)$ -framed* if the vector bundle $TQ^{n+q} \oplus \varepsilon_{Q^{n+q}}^2$ has $n+1$ sections that are linearly independent at every point of Q^{n+q} (shortly, we say that it has $n+1$ independent sections).

Let Q_i^{n+q} be closed (oriented) stably $(n-1)$ -framed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds, i.e., the vector bundles $TQ_i^{n+q} \oplus \varepsilon_{Q_i^{n+q}}^2$ have $n+1$ independent sections $e_i^1, \dots, e_i^{n+1}$ ($i = 0, 1$). We say that the manifolds Q_0^{n+q} and Q_1^{n+q} are *stably (oriented) $(n-1)$ -framed cobordant* if

- a) they are (oriented) cobordant in the usual sense by an (oriented) $(n+q+1)$ -dimensional manifold W^{n+q+1} ,
- b) one of the two trivial line bundles in the direct sum $TQ_0^{n+q} \oplus \varepsilon_{Q_0^{n+q}}^2$ (resp. $TQ_1^{n+q} \oplus \varepsilon_{Q_1^{n+q}}^2$) corresponds to the inward (resp. outward) normal section of the boundary of W^{n+q+1} ,
- c) the vector bundle $TW \oplus \varepsilon_W^1$ has $n+1$ independent sections $f^1, \dots, f^{n+1}$,
- d) the sections f^j restricted to the boundary $Q_0^{n+q} \amalg Q_1^{n+q}$ of W^{n+q+1} coincide with the sections e_i^j ($j = 1, \dots, n+1$ and $i = 0, 1$).

We denote the set of (oriented) stably $(n-1)$ -framed cobordism classes of closed (oriented) stably $(n-1)$ -framed $(n+q)$ -dimensional manifolds by $\mathcal{C}_{n+q}(n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{n+q}^O(n)$) which is an abelian group with the disjoint union as operation.

By [26, Lemma 3.1] (see also [4, Lemma 3.1]) there is a homomorphism

$$\sigma_{n,q}^{(O)} : \mathcal{Cob}_{f,fr}^{(O)}(n+q, -q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n+q}^{(O)}(n),$$

which maps a cobordism class of a framed fold map $g : Q^{n+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ into the stably $(n-1)$ -framed cobordism class of the source manifold Q^{n+q} with the stable framing obtained by [26, Lemma 3.1]¹.

2. RESULTS

In this section, the target manifold N^n is always assumed to be $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the group

$$\text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_{BS(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}^1}(n-1, 1)$$

(or $\text{Imm}_N^{\varepsilon_{BS(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}^1}(n-1, 1)$), which is the target of the homomorphism $\xi_{\lambda,q}^N$ (resp. $\xi_{\lambda,q}^{O,N}$), is naturally identified with the group

$$\pi_{n-1}^s \oplus \pi_{n-1}^s(B(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda)))$$

(resp. $\pi_{n-1}^s \oplus \pi_{n-1}^s(BS(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda)))$).

The main results of this section are the following.

¹In [26, Lemma 3.1] n independent sections for $TQ^{n+q} \oplus \varepsilon_{Q^{n+q}}^1$ are constructed.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n > 0, q \geq 0$. Then, the homomorphisms*

$$\sigma_{n,q}^O \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2} \xi_{\lambda,q}^O: \text{Cob}_{f,fr}^O(n+q, -q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n+q}^O(n) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2} \pi_{n-1}^s \oplus \pi_{n-1}^s(BS(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda)))$$

and

$$\sigma_{n,q} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2} \xi_{\lambda,q}: \text{Cob}_{f,fr}(n+q, -q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n+q}(n) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2} \pi_{n-1}^s \oplus \pi_{n-1}^s(B(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda)))$$

denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{n,q}^O$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{n,q}$, respectively, are injective. In other words, for closed (oriented) manifolds Q_i^{n+q} ($i = 0, 1$) two framed fold maps

$$f_i: Q_i^{n+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

($i = 0, 1$) are (oriented) framed cobordant if and only if

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,q}^{(O)}([f_0]) = \mathfrak{S}_{n,q}^{(O)}([f_1]).$$

Corollary 2.2. *For $k \geq 0$, the homomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_{n,2k}^{(O)}$ gives a complete invariant of the (oriented) cobordism group $\text{Cob}_f^{(O)}(n+2k, -2k)$ of fold maps.*

Remark 2.3. By Corollary 2.2 the homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,0}^O: \text{Cob}_f^O(n, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^O(n)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n,0}: \text{Cob}_f(n, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n(n)$$

are injective, and by Ando [4, Theorem 3.2] they are surjective as well. Hence, we have $\text{Cob}_f^O(n, 0) = \mathcal{C}_n^O(n)$ and $\text{Cob}_f(n, 0) = \mathcal{C}_n(n)$. Note that since the group $\mathcal{C}_n^O(n)$ is isomorphic to π_n^s , we obtain another argument for the isomorphism $\text{Cob}_f^O(n, 0) = \pi_n^s$ (for the original proof, see Ando [1, 5]).

3. PROOF

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $f: Q^{n+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a framed fold map. If

$$\xi_{\lambda,q}([f]) \in \text{Imm}^{\varepsilon^1_{B(O(\lambda) \times O(q+1-\lambda))}}(n-1, 1)$$

is zero for $1 \leq \lambda \leq (q+1)/2$, then by gluing the null-cobordisms of the fold singularity bundles [17] to the fold map f , we obtain a framed fold map $F: W^{n+q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$, where

- (1) W^{n+q+1} is a compact $(n+q+1)$ -dimensional manifold with boundary $Q^{n+q} \amalg P^{n+q}$,
- (2) $F|_{Q^{n+q} \times [0, \varepsilon)} = f \times \text{id}_{[0, \varepsilon)}$, where $Q^{n+q} \times [0, \varepsilon)$ is a small collar neighbourhood of Q^{n+q} ($\subset \partial W^{n+q+1}$) in W^{n+q+1} with the identification $Q^{n+q} = Q^{n+q} \times \{0\}$ and
- (3) F is a submersion into $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, 1)$ near P^{n+q} .

If $\sigma_{n,q}([f])$ is zero, then since the manifolds Q^{n+q} and P^{n+q} are stably $(n-1)$ -framed cobordant by the manifold W^{n+q+1} and framing $\mathfrak{F}$ obtained by [26, Lemma 3.1] from the framed fold map F , the stably $(n-1)$ -framed manifold P^{n+q} is also zero in the stable $(n-1)$ -framed cobordism group $\mathcal{C}_{n+q}(n)$. Hence by gluing a stably $(n-1)$ -framed null-cobordism of P^{n+q} to W^{n+q+1} , we obtain a compact $(n+q+1)$ -dimensional manifold X^{n+q+1} with boundary Q^{n+q} such that the bundle $TX^{n+q+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{X^{n+q+1}}^1$ has an $(n+1)$ -framing which coincides with the framing $\mathfrak{F}$ on W^{n+q+1} .

Since $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$ is contractible, we can extend the map F to a continuous map $G: X^{n+q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$. Note that the $(n+1)$ -framing of the bundle $TX^{n+q+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{X^{n+q+1}}^1$ gives a fiberwise epimorphism H of the bundle $TX^{n+q+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{X^{n+q+1}}^1$ into the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1])$ covering the continuous map G by mapping the $n+1$ frames to the canonical bases of $T(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1])$ at any points of X^{n+q+1} . We may suppose that near the submanifold P^{n+q} of X^{n+q+1} the continuous map G coincides with the fold map F , and by the construction of the $(n+1)$ -framing $\mathfrak{F}$ of the bundle $TW^{n+q+1} \oplus \varepsilon_{W^{n+q+1}}^1$ (see [26, Lemma 3.1] and also [4, Lemma 3.1]) the bundle homomorphism $H|_{TX^{n+q+1}}: TX^{n+q+1} \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1])$ is given by the differential of the fold map F (which is a submersion) near P^{n+q} .

Hence similarly to [4, Proof of Theorem 3.2] using the relative h-principle for fold maps [4, Theorem 0.5, Theorem 2.1], we see that there is a framed fold map $g: X^{n+q+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 1]$ which coincides with F on W^{n+q+1} and whose boundary² coincides with the framed fold map f . Hence the framed fold map f is framed null-cobordant. The oriented case is proved in a similar way. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ando, Fold-maps and the space of base point preserving maps of spheres, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41** (2002), 693–737.
- [2] ———, Invariants of fold-maps via stable homotopy groups, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **38** (2002), 397–450.
- [3] ———, Cobordisms of maps without prescribed singularities, arXiv:math.GT/0412234v1.
- [4] ———, Existence theorems of fold-maps, Japan. J. Math. **30** (2004), 29–73.
- [5] ———, Stable homotopy groups of spheres and higher singularities, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **46** (2006), 147–165.
- [6] ———, Cobordisms of maps with singularities of a given class, arXiv:0707.4329v1.
- [7] T. Ekholm, A. Szűcs and T. Terpai, Cobordisms of fold maps and maps with prescribed number of cusps, arXiv:math.GT/0701433v1.
- [8] J. M. Eliashberg, On singularities of folding type, Math. USSR-Izv. **4** (1970), 1119–1134.
- [9] ———, Surgery of singularities of smooth mappings, Math. USSR-Izv. **6** (1972), 1302–1326.
- [10] M. Gromov, Stable mappings of foliations into manifolds, Math. USSR-Izv. **3** (1969), 671–694.
- [11] K. Ikegami, Cobordism group of Morse functions on manifolds, Hiroshima Math. J. **34** (2004), 211–230.
- [12] K. Ikegami and O. Saeki, Cobordism group of Morse functions on surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan **55** (2003), 1081–1094.
- [13] B. Kalmár, Cobordism group of Morse functions on unoriented surfaces, Kyushu J. Math. **59** (2005), 351–363.
- [14] ———, Cobordism group of fold maps of oriented 3-manifolds into the plane, Acta Math. Hungar. **117** (2007), 1–25.
- [15] ———, Pontryagin-Thom type construction for negative codimensional singular maps, arXiv:math.GT/0612116v1.
- [16] ———, Fold cobordisms and stable homotopy groups, to appear, arXiv:0704.3147.
- [17] ———, Cobordism invariants of fold maps, to appear, arXiv:0709.0350.

²The boundary of a map g from a manifold X with boundary ∂X is the restriction $g|_{\partial X}$.

- [18] ———, Fold maps and immersions from the viewpoint of cobordism, arXiv:math:0705.2731.
- [19] U. Koschorke, Vector fields and other vector bundle morphisms - a singularity approach, Lect. Notes in Math. **847**, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [20] R. Rimányi and A. Szűcs, Generalized Pontrjagin-Thom construction for maps with singularities, Topology **37** (1998), 1177–1191.
- [21] R. Sadykov, Elimination of singularities of smooth mappings of 4-manifolds into 3-manifolds, Topology Appl. **144** (2004), no. 1–3, 173–199.
- [22] ———, Bordism groups of special generic mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 3, 931–936.
- [23] ———, Bordism groups of solutions to differential relations, arXiv:math.AT/0608460v1.
- [24] ———, Cobordism groups of Morin maps, preprint.
- [25] ———, Fold maps, framed immersions and smooth structures, preprint.
- [26] O. Saeki, Notes on the topology of folds, J. Math. Soc. Japan **44** (1992), no. 3, 551–566.
- [27] ———, Cobordism groups of special generic functions and groups of homotopy spheres, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **28** (2002), no. 2, 287–297.
- [28] ———, Fold maps on 4-manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 3, 627–647.
- [29] ———, Topology of singular fibers of differentiable maps, Lect. Notes in Math. **1854**, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [30] R. E. Stong, Notes on cobordism theory, Princeton University Press and the University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [31] A. Szűcs, Analogue of the Thom space for mappings with singularity of type Σ^1 (in Russian), Math. Sb. (N.S.) **108 (150)** (1979), 433–456, 478; English translation: Math. USSR-Sb. **36** (1980), 405–426.
- [32] ———, Cobordism groups of immersions with restricted self-intersection, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), 71–80.
- [33] ———, Topology of $\Sigma^{1,1}$ -singular maps, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **121** (1997), 465–477.
- [34] ———, On the cobordism group of Morin maps, Acta Math. Hungar. **80** (1998), 191–209.
- [35] ———, Elimination of singularities by cobordism, Contemporary Mathematics **354** (2004), 301–324.
- [36] ———, Cobordism of singular maps, arXiv:math.GT/0612152v1.
- [37] R. Wells, Cobordism of immersions, Topology **5** (1966), 281–294.

KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, 6-10-1 HAKOZAKI, HIGASHI-KU, FUKUOKA 812-8581, JAPAN

E-mail address: kalmbold@yahoo.com